UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,433	03/24/2006	Martin Oberhomburg	2003P01019WOUS	1983
	7590 09/28/201 PPLIANCES CORPOR	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 100 BOSCH BOULEVARD			PASCHALL, MARK H	
NEW BERN, N	= =		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/28/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination			
10/573,433	OBERHOMBURG, MARTIN	OBERHOMBURG, MARTIN		
	Art Unit			
Mark H. Paschall	3742			

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Revi	ew filed 10 July	2010.				
 Improper Request – The Regression(s): 	Request is improper an	d a conference v	will not be held for the following				
☐ The Notice of Appeal has☐ The request does not incl☐ A proposed amendment is☐ Other:	ude reasons why a rev	view is appropria	ite.				
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.							
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.							
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 11-25. Claim(s) withdrawn from cor		im(s) is as follov	vs:				
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.							
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.							
All participants:							
(1) Mark H. Paschall.		(3) <u>Henry Yuen</u> .					
(2) <u>Hoang Tu</u> .		(4)					
/Mark H Paschall/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742	/Henry Yuen/ Supervisory Patent E TC 3700	xaminer,	/TU B HOANG/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3742				